



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/610,487 | 06/30/2003 | Eric J. Horvitz | MS303526.1 / MSFTP474US | 5330 |
| 27195 | 7590 | 05/06/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| AMIN. TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114 | | | ZAHR, ASHRAF A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2175 | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/06/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@the patentattorneys.com
hholmes@the patentattorneys.com
osteuball@the patentattorneys.com

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Interview Summary</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/610,487 | HORVITZ ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | ASHRAF ZAHR | 2175 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ASHRAF ZAHR. (3) Brian Steed.

(2) Nilesh Amin. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-51.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the amendments incorporating allowable subject matter into the independent claims. The claims will be reviewed upon receiving the response to see if they have been amended to overcome the rejections and objections. We also discussed the 101 and 112 rejections. The amendments appear to overcome those rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ashraf Zahr/
Examiner, Art Unit 2175

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.